



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_5787
Title of the Manuscript:	Selected soil chemical properties in some traditional irrigation schemes of Mpwapwa District, Dodoma Region, Tanzania.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper has some novelty	• Thanks
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Many references but with no citations at all. This has to be corrected to make the paper credible.	• All references have been corrected and checked accordingly as per reviewers suggestions/comments • All comments given in-text have been attended as suggested by the reviewers • With regard to table 2, I find it better to maintain it instead of creating a figure which will be the only one in MS.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some carelessness noticed in references	